

EQIP COUNTY RANKING SHEET

For Hendricks County

RESOURCE CONCERN: LIVESTOCK MANAGEMENT

Landuser: _____

Farm No. _____

Tract No.(s) _____

Resource Concern: Is water quality being negatively impacted because of animal production activities on the land being offered for contract?

Circle Y (yes) or N (no) for each of the local ranking criteria listed below.

Y N **Applicant has livestock and is within any 303(d) listed watershed.**

Y N **Applicant has livestock within 200 feet of a water body.**

Y N **Applicant willing to exclude livestock from stream.**

Y N **Applicant has 50 or more animal units.**

Y N **Applicant has a confined feeding operation.**

Y N **Applicant disposes of manure through surface application.**

Y N **Applicant will include a prescribed grazing plan.**

Circle High, Medium or Low based upon number of “yes” answers above to determine the local ranking for Livestock Management resource concern.

High 50% or greater responses are “yes” to listed criteria.

Medium 1% through 49% responses “yes” to listed criteria.

Low None of the responses are “yes” to listed criteria.

EQIP COUNTY RANKING SHEET

For Hendricks County

RESOURCE CONCERN: **EROSION**

Landuser: _____

Farm No. _____

Tract No.(s) _____

Resource Concern: Is soil quality being negatively impacted by excessive erosion on the land being offered for contract?

Circle Y (yes) or N (no) for each of the local ranking criteria listed below.

- | | | |
|----------|----------|---|
| Y | N | Applicant has 50% or greater HEL designation on cropland to be included under contract. |
| Y | N | Soil loss is estimated at greater than "T" on the cropland area being considered for contract. |
| Y | N | Producer is willing to engage in continuous no-till (minimum 3-year commitment). |
| Y | N | Producer willing to convert HEL cropland to pasture, hayland or permanent cover. |
| Y | N | Producer willing to establish permanent vegetation on HEL. |
| Y | N | Gully erosion present. |
-

Circle High, Medium or Low based upon number of "yes" answers above to determine the local ranking for Erosion resource concern.

High 50% or greater responses are "yes" to listed criteria.

Medium 1% through 49% responses "yes" to listed criteria.

Low None of the responses are "yes" to listed criteria.



EQIP COUNTY RANKING SHEET

For Hendricks County

RESOURCE CONCERN: WATER QUALITY

Landuser: _____

Farm No. _____

Tract No.(s) _____

Resource Concern: Is water quality being negatively impacted by agricultural activities on the land being offered for contract?

Circle Y (yes) or N (no) for each of the local ranking criteria listed below.

- | | | |
|----------|----------|--|
| Y | N | Applicant conducts agricultural operations with area of high groundwater vulnerability. |
| Y | N | Applicant conducts agricultural operations within any 303(d) listed watershed. |
| Y | N | Applicant has 50% or greater HEL designation for land to be included under contract. |
| Y | N | Applicant conducts agricultural operations within a municipal wellhead protection area. |
| Y | N | Applicant has livestock within 200 feet of a water body. |
| Y | N | Applicant willing to install riparian buffer practice. |
| Y | N | Applicant willing to install vegetative filter strip practice. |
-

Circle High, Medium or Low based upon number of “yes” answers above to determine the local ranking for Water Quality resource concern.

High 50% or greater responses are “yes” to listed criteria.

Medium 1% through 49% responses “yes” to listed criteria.

Low None of the responses are “yes” to listed criteria.

EQIP COUNTY RANKING SHEET

For Hendricks County

RESOURCE CONCERN: **FOREST HEALTH**

Landuser: _____

Farm No. _____

Tract No.(s) _____

Resource Concern: Is forest quality being negatively impacted or can it be improved on land being offered for contract?

Circle Y (yes) or N (no) for each of the local ranking criteria listed below.

- | | | |
|----------|----------|---|
| Y | N | Applicant has soils with slopes where reforestation would be beneficial. |
| Y | N | Applicant plans tree planting east of SR 39. |
| Y | N | Applicant is willing to develop a Forest Management Plan. |
| Y | N | Applicant plans tree planting on the offered tract. |
| Y | N | Applicant plans Timber Stand Improvement to control invasive forest species. |
| Y | N | Proposed tree planting connects to existing forested areas. |
| Y | N | Proposed tree planting develops wildlife corridor. |
| Y | N | Proposed tree planting is a riparian buffer. |
-

Circle High, Medium or Low based upon number of “yes” answers above to determine the local ranking for Forest Health resource concern.

High 50% or greater responses are “yes” to listed criteria.

Medium 1% through 49% responses “yes” to listed criteria.

Low None of the responses are “yes” to listed criteria.